1 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TERESITA TAMAYO, et al.,	) Case No. 2:16-cv-00824-GMN-NJK
Plaintiff(s), vs.	ORDER
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,	(Docket No. 10)
Defendant(s).	
	,

Pending before the Court is Defendant's proposed discovery plan. Docket No. 10. LR 26-1(a) requires discovery plans to be submitted jointly. The present discovery plan was not filed jointly and, therefore, it is **DENIED**.

Plaintiffs are **ORDERED** to meet and confer with counsel for Defendant, in compliance with the Court's Local Rules, with regard to a joint proposed discovery plan. The parties must file a joint proposed discovery plan, no later than July 15, 2016. The Court reminds Plaintiffs that they are required to abide by all Federal and Local Rules of Procedure. *See Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 54 (9th Cir. 1995). Failure to do so may result in sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 5, 2016

NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Local Rules of Practice were amended effective May 1, 2016. General Order 2016-01. Defendant's proposed discovery plan cites the prior version of the Local Rules of Practice. *See*, *e.g.*, Docket No. 10 at 1 (citing LR 26-1(e), which no longer exists). The amended LR 26-1 places additional requirements on discovery plans, including those in LR 26-1(b)(7), (8), and (9). Defendant's discovery plan fails to comply with these requirements.